

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/253,153	02/19/99	SCHWABACHER		A	
			\neg	EXAMINER	
KAROLINE K	м спутр	HM12/0423		CABCTA	h.a
		EXCHANGE PLACE		GARCIA ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
53 STATE S' BOSTON MA				1627 DATE MAILED:	14
					04/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/253,153

Examiner

Maurie E. Garcia, Ph. D.

Art Unit

1627

Schwabacher

_	

ŀ	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Ther reject allov	REPLY FILED <u>Apr 9, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. refore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final ction under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for wance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
a	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
(b)	expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
a	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ppropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally et in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)) \square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
•	NOTE: The added limitations appear to be new matter. Applicants have not pointed to support. In accordance with MPEP § 714.02, applicants should specifically point out support for any amendments, (see below)
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in
	separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. 🗆	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
7. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. 🛭	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 37-46
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 37-46</u>
9. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed ona) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.
10. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
	Other: The added limitations also would require a new search and evaluation of the claims A VENKAT PH.D. Thus, the arguments are moot in view of the non-entry of the amendment and the RY PATENT EXAMENER the rejections are maintained for reasons of record TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600